UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,568	10/31/2005	Shih-Ping Wang	2692-68524-PCT-US	6727
23432 7590 10/02/2008 COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP			EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS		CHENG, JACQUELINE	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,568	WANG, SHIH-PING	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JACQUELINE CHENG	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ar</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ☐ accepted or b) objected to led accepted or b objected to led and accepted or b objected to led and accepted or second is required if the drawing(s) is objected to led to led and accepted or second in accepted or second in accepted if the drawing(s) is objected to led	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the Internation for a list of the priority documents application for a list of the priority documents application from the Internation for a list of the priority documents application for a list of the priority documents application from the Internation for a list of the priority documents application for a list of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/21/05 4/9/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. **Figure 2** should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 is objected to because the claim languages of "whereby first and second intermediate volumetric representations". It is not clear if applicant is trying to say "the first and second..." which if the applicant is then there is an antecedent basis problem. The examiner suggests some sort of claim language of --whereby a first and second intermediate volumetric representation which are separately derived from outputs of said first and second array transducers respectively, can be...--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3768

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wild (US 5,333,612). Wild discloses an ultrasonic volumetric breast diagnosis apparatus comprising a reservoir with a conformable acoustically conductive membrane with a first area (fig. 2 element 21) contacting an upward-facing surface of the breast. The reservoir is filled with a coupling fluid which is at a level that the transducer surface comes into substantial acoustic communication with the breast (col. 3 line 18-29). Wild also discloses a position sensing system of a motor which indexes the transducer path (abstract, col. 3 line 49-56) which can be moved in a mechanical fashion in multiple directions of travel with a linear array of transducers (col. 3 line 31-34, col. 4 line 30-35, col. 4 line 62-63).
- 5. Claim 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 15-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitchell (US 5,433,202). Mitchell teaches a probe assembly which is used on a patient lying on her back with a trough-like bag of water resting on her breast. A scanner with 4 linear array transducers, each having a scanning direction that differ by a nonzero angle (see fig. 6a where the transducer arrays are tilted toward each other at a small subvolume), is mechanically positioned over the breast and scans the entire breast volume (col. 14 line 51-68). Each of the transducer arrays also have encoders to sense the position of the transducers (col. 13 line 40-60).

Art Unit: 3768

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 8, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Mitchell. Mitchell discloses the transducer arrays being tilted to face the breast subvolume (col.

13 line 24-28) however does not explicitly disclose any certain angle that they are tilted. It would

be obvious to tilt the transducer arrays to any angle which is needed to face the breast subvolume

which can be at least 30 degrees.

8. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin

(US 5,919,139) in view of Saitoh (US 4,901,729). Lin discloses a probe comprising both an array

of ultrasonic transducers and an audio transducers for performing vibrational Doppler ultrasonic

imaging. The audio transducer head has an acoustic membrane to facilitated use in the presence

of fluids. It is obvious to one skilled in the art to use coupling fluids with the use of a water bag

to conform to the shape of the body with ultrasonic probes in order to facilitate better acoustic

propagation through the body such as disclosed by Saitoh. It would be obvious to add the

waterbag of Saitoh to Lin to further the utility of Lin to have better acoustic transmission.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3768

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACQUELINE CHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-

5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

JC